

Chapter Three

THE MODERN PASTEUR

FOR THE ENLIGHTENMENT of some of our readers, we feel that a Chapter dealing with the background of Dr. Koch would be most valuable at this point. The discoveries of this distinguished scientist could have no doubt saved the lives of many more thousands of people during the last three decades, if the power clique in Organized Medicine hadn't been operating to prevent people from knowing that such a thing as the Koch Treatment actually existed.

While there are thousands of people throughout the world who owe their health and life to the results achieved with the use of the Koch Treatment, it is to their courageous family physician, as well as to Dr. Koch, to whom they are indebted. Numerous medical men throughout this hemisphere have taken the trouble of investigating the efficacy of the Koch Treatment, and they have been using it diligently, very often the patient not realizing that a system of the healing art long opposed by "money-mad" men, was responsible for his restoration to health.

According to the *National Cyclopaedia of American Biography* and other sources, William Frederick Koch was born at Detroit, Michigan, on April 6, 1885, the son of Martin and Christine (Faulstich) Koch, both natives of Germany. His father came to America in 1859. The son was graduated with an A.B. at the University of Michigan in 1909. He also received both his M.A. in 1910 and his Ph.D. in 1917, at the University of Michigan. He received his medical degree at the Detroit College of Medicine of Wayne University. During 1910-1913, he was Instructor in Histology and Embryology in the University of Michigan, and from 1914-1919, he was Professor of Physiology in the Detroit Medical College.

Meantime, devoting his attention to research work in physiology and pathology, he discovered the normal functions of the parathyroid glands, previously debated. His interest was eventually drawn to the problem of the nature of cancer, with the hope of discovering an effective method for its treatment and cure. As the result of extensive clinical observations, he formed a Theory, distinctly original in its terms, with apparently excellent prospects of affording a sufficient understanding of this baffling malady. He advanced the novel proposition that what he terms "cancer behavior" is to be regarded as "a protective response to a toxic product generated within the body." This conclusion he explains in the following sentences, which seem to contain an excellent summary: "Clinical observation disclosed the persistence of toxemia over a period even as long as twenty years previous to the advent of the growth. After the growth has come these toxic manifestations disappear, or nearly so. After a surgical removal of the growth they return and with recurrence of the growth again disappear. We designate these symptoms as the pro-growth symptoms, for they differ from those consequent to the activity of the growth itself. The strongest and the sufficient proof that cancer is a response of protection against a definite toxin, however rests with the fact that removal of the toxin and destruction of the toxin source is followed by complete involution of all cancer tissue, complete healing of the regions involved, return to health with absence of growth and pro-growth symptoms, and the absence of recurrence."

Following these concepts, Dr. Koch produced a Synthetic Antitoxin, described in the *Journal of*

the American Association for Medico-Physical Research, in 1925, which has been used successfully in numerous cases, both advanced and incipient. His Theory and specific Treatment have been the subjects of much comment in the medical profession and have been welcomed in many quarters as truly “epoch-making” at a time when the efficacy of radium has been widely questioned.

Dr. Koch was Professor of Physiology at the Detroit College of Medicine and Pathologist to the Women’s Hospital at Detroit when the opposition started. This was back in 1916, the time when a certain medical clique held tight control over the facilities for medical research around Detroit. Because of Dr. Koch’s earlier work in biochemistry, it seemed that they actually feared he might be successful in his attack on the cancer problem and organized to block him from the start. Chemical dealers were ordered not to sell him materials, and cancer tissues removed at operations were refused him.

However, through his many faithful students who were assisting in the operating rooms and who understood very well the nature of the obstructive policies put into action against him, he received sufficient material.

When Dr. Koch’s work was first successfully demonstrated in the fall of 1919, it did not take very long for the medical manipulators to invite him to Chicago. In addition to offering the doctor a starter of a million dollars in cold cash for his cooperation, they planned to write up him and the Treatment gloriously all over the world and place him on the pinnacle of fame. The token they required of Dr. Koch, in addition to complete and full rights to his discovery, was too much for his Christian spirit to consent to give. The small, powerful minority within the profession, which believes that all progress must come either from them or through them, was defeated at this attempt to force young Koch into their bondage. It has been only too well demonstrated in a number of instances (observe the same attempt in the comparatively recent “Krebiozen Case” and Dr. Ivy) how this group can order and coerce those whom it has favored, to go and testify to untruths and sometimes testify against their knowledge and better judgment under oath. Because Dr. Koch accepted no gifts, favors, or special privileges from these sources, he later became the object of their most bitter reprisals.

It is well known in certain circles that an automobile tire has been invented which would never need replacing for practically the full lifetime of any car. It isn’t hard to imagine what would happen to tire repairmen and to the tire industry if such a tire were actually put on the market. The discovery has never been given to the public.

Whether the men who wanted to get control of the Koch Treatment would have acted in a similar manner, is of course speculation. The fact that it costs something like \$6,000 on an average for a person to die of cancer, may help influence those who receive this money, to keep the Koch Treatment from general use because prospective spenders of such huge sums are restored to health for a mere fraction of that amount. The public should not be so gullible as to believe that certain medical interests are so philanthropic that they ignore the scent of such things as filthy lucre. In writing, in this manner, we are not thinking of the thousands of doctors and scientists who really work because they want to help humanity. Instead, we refer particularly to the monopolized trusts and the drug industry, to the highly financed hospitals, and those who own

interests in the raw materials, which are needed to keep these groups supplied.

Physical Culture magazine has long been exposing the real reasons why we have smallpox scares, syphilis clinics, and terrific campaigns to sell serums to the public. Sometimes, a patient may receive as high as 1,500 injections or more with no apparent results in improved health. Figured at a conservative \$1.00 each, this is a terrific amount to pay out in experimentation. It is no wonder that many families are kept poor because of mounting health bills. Some people within the acquaintance of the writers, have paid half of their meager incomes to doctors for almost thirty years, until discovery of what the Koch Treatment can do for them, has helped them to get on their feet.

The group spurned by Dr. Koch many years ago has, thank God, failed in its attempt to destroy the Koch Treatment. It has, however, hindered its use for the benefit of untold numbers of other people during these same years. With its false reports and whispering campaigns, it has attempted to make doctors believe this Treatment is a piece of quackery, which is absolutely ineffective.

It is the attitude and the persecution of this group which caused Dr. C. E. Phillips, revered member of the Orange County, Texas, Medical Society for many years and its past president, to say in a public deposition to the Federal Court in Detroit, which was trying Dr. Koch on a technical charge trumped up by the medical dictator, "I have not many years to live and if I can keep you people from putting this medicine out of the earth, I will prevent one of the most heinous crimes that has ever been perpetrated upon the American public or any other country."

Instead of using the Koch Treatment on one patient, or five, or six, by administering the Treatment incorrectly, in the manner of those from Organized Medicine who testified against its efficacy, Dr. Phillips did research with it for over fifteen years, on dozens of cases in several fields. Although he has never met Dr. Koch personally, he felt it his privilege to testify in his behalf and tell of the results he has attained with its use.

It would be possible to fill this entire book with Testimonies given by reputable physicians who have had widespread experience with the Koch Treatment. Let us take here, for example, the sworn Testimony of one of these men. The Court Record tells the following regarding the Testimony of Dr. Wendell G. Hendricks:

TESTIMONY OF DR. HENDRICKS

"I am a physician, surgeon, and an osteopath practicing in Bakersfield, California. * In addition to my general practice, I have given special attention to allergies and a large amount of my practice is infections, and I have quite a pediatric practice as well.

"Yes, I have knowledge of a drug called 'Glyoxylide.' I was practicing in Arvin in 1939, when I first heard of Glyoxylide and it was out of a book called *Natural Immunity*, by Dr. William Frederick Koch, which I came across at that time. I was treating allergy cases and I was not having any success with them except palliation, and I was looking for some answer for the successful treatment of these conditions. I read this book and it appealed to me. I felt that I

possibly had found the answer and so I immediately began to use it.

“I have used approximately 1,535 ampoules of Glyoxylide in treating human patients. These patients were treated for both infection and allergy, separately.

“In my ordinary practice, I keep a case history of each of my patients. I have brought to this Court certain of those case histories of patients treated with Glyoxylide. In most instances, a history of the condition was tabulated and a record of progress of that case was kept.

“I have administered approximately 820 injections of Dr. Koch’s Benzoquinone.

“Acute infections always respond more rapidly to the Treatment by comparison with chronic infections.

“Chronic cases respond also to Koch Glyoxylide. Chronic cases also respond to Koch Benzoquinone.

“Malonide and Glyoxylide are very efficacious in the cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of allergic conditions. This is based on my professional experience.

“From my personal and professional experience Glyoxylide is very efficacious in the cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of infections. This is also true, based on the same sources, of the Koch drug, Benzoquinone. It is efficacious.

“From professional observation and experience I have formed the opinion that Koch’s Malonide is efficacious as an antitoxin. This is also true of Glyoxylide and Benzoquinone.

“I believe Benzoquinone is very efficacious in the cure, mitigation, prevention, or treatment of sequelae. Based on my experience, I believe Malonide is very efficacious in the treatment of diseases in man.

“I have professionally administered approximately 2,400 Koch Treatments.

“From my professional experience, about 85% of the treatments of each of the drugs, Glyoxylide, Malonide, and Benzoquinone, proved efficacious as an antitoxin. There was 15% failure.

“The opinions that I have expressed here are based upon approximately nine year’s practice of my profession.

“The most recent figure that I have made is about 45% recovery in cancer with Glyoxylide.

“I think that the basis of disease is dependent upon the state of the resistance of the body as well as the invasion by bacteria. Anything that will burn up the toxins of the infection will be a great aid to recovery. The Koch Treatment is built up on this principle and I have seen it destroy infection quickly and successfully right along. For instance in undulant fever, and in San Joaquin

fever the immediate cures are 100% in my experience. In older infections, recoveries are also very rapid, and there have been no sequelae or complications in any case that I have ever treated where I used the Koch Remedy. I have used plenty of other remedies in my practice as a basis of comparison. That is why I use them in the difficult cases, when other remedies have failed. *

*(Federal Court Testimony, pp. 2939-3017)

Before we bring this Chapter to a conclusion, let us include some more of the Official Testimony, which appears in the Federal Court Records, regarding the Koch Treatment. Here is the Testimony of Dr. David H. Arnott, of London, Ontario.

TESTIMONY OF DAVID D. ARNOTT, M. D.

“My name is Dr. David H. Arnott and I reside in London, Ontario, Canada. I am three years younger than my brother, Dr. Henry C. Arnott. At present I am carrying on research work. I was educated for the medical profession at the University of Western Ontario and was graduated in 1899. My father had been a physician and helped to start the medical faculty of the University of Western Ontario as their first Professor of Medicine, but I began to practice alone and have been there in London ever since.

“I broke down in health forty years later, in November 1940, and have not been in active family practice since, but I have carried on research work with Glyoxylide. I have known Dr. William F. Koch since about November 1926. I came to Detroit at that time and told Dr. Koch that I was interested in a certain patient that had cancer of the breast and I wanted to know what the chance of recovery was, provided she got his Treatment, because in all my practice I had never had a case of cancer of any kind recover following this operation. We discussed it backwards and forwards and he interested me and invited me to return, and I came back and spent the 7th, 8th, and 9th of December at his Clinic; seeing him tend to patients and to re-examine them when they were reporting in, and to listen to him discussing the clinical progress with the different doctors who were there besides myself and acting like myself. After that I came back once a month for the next eight consecutive months, and followed up a lot of cases that I had seen, some carrying on from the first day I was there. I took notes and brought them with me and compared them with Dr. Koch’s office notes and found his notes were just like my own.

“I have been coming back to see Dr. Koch’s work off and on ever since, and in May 1927, I first used it in my practice. I used it on myself about March 1927; lived on the diet for the purpose of seeing what effect it would have on me, and followed the diet so that I felt, from actual experience, that I was fit to give advice.

“I have up to this time used it on some 400 patients and have particularly used Glyoxylide.

“Some of the ailments for which I used Glyoxylide are: cancer of the sigmoid, tuberculosis of the lungs, of the kidneys, and bladder; asthma, emphysema, epilepsy, coronary thrombosis, cataract, multiple fibroma, infantile paralysis, thrombosis of arteries, thrombosis of obliterative endarteritis, arteriosclerosis, ulcer of the stomach, ulcer of the duodenum, undulant fever, and boils. These are some of the ones for which I believe Glyoxylide to be an effective remedy.

“I have an opinion on why Glyoxylide might be effective in so many different pathological states. Life is supported by the food that we eat. It is promoted and reproduced on that basis. The potential energy comes from food, through living processes, which are natural and inherited and remakes life, as we know it. This is brought about by the oxygen in the air, going into our lungs, and into our blood, uniting with the food after it has been digested, for the purpose of continuing life. It is an oxidative mechanism that makes the transfer of potential energy and food into living energy. Dr. Jonathan C. Hakins, Professor of Medicine at Magill University, published an article in the *Canadian Medical Association Journal* in July 1935, the title of which was, ‘The Breath of Life.’ He summed it up in this expression: that oxygen is the breath of life and the cell is the seed of life, and he made the explanation that the union of oxygen with the processed food took place on or in the surface of the cell and that is the way life went on. This is the general basis of the continuation of life. We have inherited not only our shape as human beings, but also our powers to resist disease. All the different functions that go on in our bodies must be balanced, not too much of this one or too little, not too much of that one or too little. That keeps us going in a normal way and is what we call good health. This is all based upon the adequate amount of food, proper variety of food, and then upon it being effectively transferred into living energy by this natural oxidative process of the body. It is my opinion that a different remedy for a different disease is not necessarily needed.”

These individual Testimonies could go on to great lengths. Many more will be added when a series of Court-Record cases are presented for examination.

In 1925 Robert Murray in his, “Science and Scientists in the Nineteenth Century,” gave proof from every phase of science to indicate that the generally accepted “open-mindedness” of scientists, is everywhere disproved by the history of that century. He wrote: “Is there any punishment equal to that which, in the name of science and with the august authority of science, has been inflicted upon Jenner and Simpson, Joule and Darwin, Pasteur and Lister, in some cases by ignoring their epoch-making ideas and in other cases by fighting them to death? One would have thought that the thanks of the whole scientific world would at once have been their rightful due. In not a single case was this so. We may be told that such things do not happen nowadays. Of this we are by no means sure.”

We have the modern example of vicious medical monopoly rearing its snobbish head against Elizabeth Kenny and the wonders that she has been able to accomplish with the use of the Kenny Treatment. It is within the recollection of every reader when the top men in the American Medical Association referred to Sister Kenny as a quack and a fraud. It took the faith of her friends who knew the value of her therapy to fight on her side until public opinion could be aroused to such a high degree that it forced the AMA to withhold its castigation of this noble woman. When Elizabeth Kenny left the United States in the spring of 1947 to instruct in Europe, one of her final statements indicated that her treatment still had not been recognized by the American Medical Association. How long will the American people permit confidence placed in this group to be abused? How long before Congress will call an investigation into the activities of the leaders of the AMA to see whether they are really serving the best interests of the public or the best interests of themselves!

When a man of the caliber of Dr. William J. Hale, the late Research Director of the vast Dow

Chemical Company says, “Dr. William Frederick Koch is the modern Pasteur,” it behooves those, who have so readily snapped at the bait of the *Journal of the American Medical Association*, to investigate for themselves. Dr. Hale’s company employs many very prominent scientists and chemists, who are known to be finished scholars. Yet leaders among them confess, without hesitancy, that Dr. Koch is one of the great, if not the world’s greatest, living Biochemist.

The Congress of the United States has investigated trusts and labor unions. Surely the time has come when a complete investigation must be conducted to show the public and the members of the Medical Association, how the leaders of the American Medical Association have been misleading the masses, how they conduct a racket which is the most ruthless in the country, which denies to citizens the right to utilize the discoveries and practices of those scientists who refuse to bow the knee to tyranny and become the slaves of a medical dictator. A demand on the part of the American laymen and the American doctor for Congress to bring to light the shady practices within the top rank of the AMA ought to be heard from coast to coast.