

## Chapter Two

### THE QUESTION

WHY SHOULD Mrs. Worley and Mrs. Duda have been the objects of attack by a group of un-American individuals who constantly harass them merely because they have desired relief from sickness? These vicious threats are but a phase of the general activity of certain groups which have attempted for more than two decades to prevent the public from knowing about a system of Treatment which really works. The entire story cannot be told in a single volume, no, not in ten volumes, nor a hundred. We can merely briefly bring to the attention of the readers to the facts, which have been denied the public for so long, and let the reader decide what action should be taken.

Those who have been responsible for preventing the public from securing information on something that can help them in sickness should be brought to justice. Their names should be known and the public should be aware of how these individuals and groups have duped them for so many years.

It did not take Justice Pierce of the Supreme Court of Massachusetts very long to denounce greedy opposition to the Koch Treatment as "a moral crime of the very worst kind." This castigation was made at a hearing before that August court in May 1927, with Judge Pierce presiding. Cured patients, healthy people, who were cured of far-advanced stages of cancer of the stomach, uterus, and other organs, all testified. So did the surgeons who made the exploratory operations and the biopsies that revealed the nature of their sicknesses. They told of the Treatment, described their return to health and the disappearance of their diseases.

Many more witnesses were waiting to testify, but after sufficient evidence was produced to establish the efficacy of the Treatment, no further time was taken to pile up accumulated evidence, and the case was closed in one day!

The reader may well wonder why there is so much opposition to the Koch Treatment, if there really is something worthwhile in it. The public has long been deluded into thinking that medicine and some of the other sciences are exact and precise. They have been led to believe that there is a united front in the scientific world. Nothing is further from the true facts. The medical world is as disunited as politicians and religious sects. That is the way it should be in a free country. People should have a right to their opinion. No one man or one group should have a monopoly on progress. Each group, each man, each opinion must be weighed and tried. It should be absorbed and used if good and discarded if bad. However, when groups of coldhearted men line themselves up to prevent the public from having a simple, and relatively inexpensive Treatment for their ills merely because they have millions invested in the drug industry, in certain apparatus, and in large hospitals, then they are acting like dictators, and they should be treated accordingly. Such individuals have no right to live among free men because, by their selfish acts, they would enslave those with whom they enjoy liberty.

Untold millions are invested in hospitals, drugs, radium and X-ray apparatus. No small wonder that it has been the popular thing in recent years to pound into the public mind the idea that there

is not the remotest possibility of a cure for cancer, for example, except with radium, X-ray, or surgery. This claim has been demonstrated to be entirely false. Even those people who advocate the use of such methods the most must admit the dismal failure and disappointment which comes in the long-run use of these so-called therapies for cancer. Still, to the public and the laymen are given such articles as appeared in *The Saturday Evening Post* for December 21, 1946, which states, "a doctor who claims to know an effective treatment for cancer not involving surgery, radium, or X-rays is an *ipso facto* quack." A popular booklet put out by the John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company in cooperation with the American Society for the Control of Cancer, states: "The only weapons against cancer are surgery, X-ray, and radium. They not only act as preventives by removing pre-cancerous conditions, but they also act as cures by taking away the cancer itself. Sometimes radium and X-ray are used with surgery as a treatment. They are also used alone to cure or help patients with cancer. Both radium and X-ray are used to the same end, the destruction of the diseased tissues, but not of the healthy tissues."

These quotations are in the literature, which the public reads. The impression is purposefully given that there is no other possible treatment except the three mentioned above. The idea is to make laymen believe that this is the firm opinion of all medical men. Fortunately, this is not the case, for these are only the opinions of a particular group, and those who collect for "control" of cancer by passing around canisters in the theaters and on the streets.

Dr. D. O. MacFarlane, a throat specialist testifying in the proceedings of the Cancer Commission for the Province of Ontario in Canada, states regarding cancer of the throat, "The only treatment we have had that I have had any experience with is X-ray and radium and they have been both most unsatisfactory." (Page 77)

Dr. C. Everett Field, Director of the Radium Institute of New York, many years ago stated: "Blindly we have been attacking cancer in its advanced stage for many generations, with surgical effort, only to find prompt recurrence after removal." (*The Function of Cancer* p. 9.)

Already more than twenty years ago the man considered by many to be the greatest American authority on cancer, L. Duncan Bulkley, who was senior surgeon of the New York Skin and Cancer Hospital declared, first that cancer is not a surgical disease, and that neither surgery, X-ray, nor radium have changed in anyway whatever the ultimate mortality of cancer in forty years. It was 90% in 1884; it was 92% in 1924. This is the result of surgery, X-ray, and radium treatment.

At that same time, Dr. W. A. Dewey, former Professor of Medicine at the University of Michigan and later of Middlebury, Vermont, wrote: "I can elaborate Dr. Bulkley's declaration, for in a practice of nearly forty-five years I have yet to see a single case of cancer save a few semi-malignant epithelioma, that these measures have cured, and my experience has been in hospitals of both this country and Europe."

Dr. J. W. Kannel of Fort Wayne, Indiana, testified under oath before the Ontario Cancer Commission: "I was very badly discouraged with my first twenty-four years experience with surgery and X-ray and radium, and I was glad to find something (the Koch Treatment) that would even cure a few of them, and I do say that it has cured a few."

Dr. E. C. Folkmar, Fellow of the American Medical Association and Editor of *Scientific Therapy and Practical Research*, wrote already more than 20 years ago: “The people are losing faith in surgery, X-ray and radium, they are losing faith in the teachings of the American Society for the Control of Cancer.”

Warning of the dangers of radium, Dr. Folkmar writes: “It is no wonder when such a physician has attended a patient with an extensive radium necrosis with fistula between the bladder and vagina following the application of radium needles in the cervix, or has seen the entire side of a face, below the eye, even extending down into the neck and part of the jaw, sloughed away some months after the injection of radium ‘seeds’ into these tissues, that he should say, ‘Never will I again recommend the use of radium. The remedy seems to be worse than the disease.’”

Writing that long ago, Dr. Folkmar warned: “The greatest progress of medical science has been along the lines of preventive medicine. Radium is not a preventive therapeutic agent. Too many surgeons and dermatologists have purchased and are using radium. There are said to be over 2000 registered radiologists today (i.e., in 1926), 2000 persons recommending, applying to, and injecting into trusting, hopeful patients a substance which may be their undoing. IT IS TIME TO CALL A HALT AND TO PUT UP THE DANGER SIGNAL.”

Writing even more specifically, Dr. Folkmar says: “A very serious problem now confronts a number of physicians. How are they to treat cancer? The methods now indorsed by Organized Medicine, surgery, X-ray and radium have proved inefficient even in the most skilled hands.” \*

High School students taking Biology classes learn that one of the duties of the white blood cells is to watch and guard against bacterial infection. It is known to physicians that these rays have a pronounced detrimental effect on both the lymphocytes and the polynuclears. The X-rays and radium treatments diminish or destroy the ability of these white blood cells to guard against and battle against bacterial infection. Besides this detrimental effect to the protective system nature has provided, these rays cause severe burns, which are too often fatal.

\**THE CANCER SITUATION*, E. C. Folkmar, M. PH., D.S.S., M.D., The Medical Press. Inc., Washington, D. C., 1926. The *Bulletin of the American Society for the Control of Cancer* of April 1930, is forced to admit: “Radium treatment has had a distinct rise in popularity both in Europe and in America, but in Regaud’s Clinic in Paris, where the results have been most carefully checked, this mode of treatment has been found useful only in cancer of epidermal origin. “In other words, in skin cancer only. Still, the awful results of using these rays for internal cancer are to be found today.”

In a news dispatch appearing in the *Detroit Times* for October 12, 1930, Dr. Warren H. Lewis, nationally known cytologist and former professor of Anatomy at Johns Hopkins University, is quoted as admitting: “We might as well face the fact that as yet we know little of the cause of cancer. Radium has been a disappointment.”

On April 22, 1930, the Jackson, Michigan, *Tribune* carried an editorial on “Radium and Cancer” and gave information on the opinions of great physicians, which it sums up as follows:

“The cancer victim of today finds himself generally in the hands of one of two classes, either the surgeon or the specialist in irradiation. Neither can do much more than promise relief that guarantees, according to the best statistics available, fatal consequences.”

*Time* magazine, May 25, 1931, admitted in its medical section that X-ray, radium, and surgery “can cure certain types of cancer in reachable parts of the body. They also, especially X-rays and radium, can do profound harm.”

The same issue of *Time* states: “With advanced cases of cancer, the specialist can only make the victim more comfortable while he slowly, painfully dies.” We ask the reader to remember this last statement when advanced cancer cases are discussed further in the book. In other words, advanced cancer cases all die with so-called “orthodox care” given by “approved” American Medical Association procedure.

It is a rule among medical men that a patient who is apparently cured of cancer may not be said to be successfully cured until at least five years have elapsed without recurrence. This information will help understand what even such men as Sir G. Lenthal Cheatle and Dr. Max Cutler mean in their book on “*Tumors of the Breast*” on page 549, when they write: “Of the cases entering the hospital with recurrence after operation only 3% are alive and well. The addition of pre-operative or post-operative prophylactic X-ray treatment or radical operation gave no greater percentage of five-year successful results.”

Dr. Stewart W. Harrington, of the Mayo Clinic, in the *American Journal of Cancer*, September 1933, page 64, states:

“Post-operative roentgen (X-ray) treatment is not a definite auxiliary to surgical treatment. In selected highly malignant cases it may be of value but it is of no benefit in cases of low-grade malignancy.”

In recent years, even the so-called orthodox and approved scientific researchers are coming closer and closer to the things which Dr. Koch pointed out many years ago, namely that cancer is a systemic condition and that the cure is to be found in chemistry and not in surgery and radiation.

This Chapter could be extended to voluminous pages, if all the information available on X-ray, radium, and surgery as treatments for cancer, were given. Obviously, since these methods are so widely used they are widely recommended. The lay reader may not be blamed for believing that no other remedy for cancer exists but the three discussed here. It has been the aim and objective of those who control the interests in these procedures to make the public believe that there is no other treatment for cancer. Some of the large drug industries are quite zealous in guarding their interests in making money by supporting the propaganda which teaches that any doctor who believes that cancer can be treated with other methods than these three, is an “ipso facto quack.” Their interest lies in the necessity of keeping cancer victims full of hope in order to combat the uncontrollable, excruciating pain irradiation adds to that of the advancing disease.

Those who have observed some of the pitiful cases of cancer victims, and others who have been burned to a cinder by irradiation, cannot too loudly condemn the false and misleading information which tells the public that this is the "only approved" way. If this section does nothing more than make the reader realize that there are two very definite sides to the X-ray, radium, and surgery questions, it will have served a useful purpose. The public should be aware of the fact that medical men are divided as to the value of these "approved" treatments.